Extraterrestrial nucleobases in the Murchison meteorite

Zita Martins, Oliver Botta, Marilyn L. Fogel, Mark A. Sephton, Daniel P. Glavin, Jonathan S. Watson, Jason P. Dworkin, Alan W. Schwartz, Pascale Ehrenfreund

doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2008.03.026

1. Introduction

One of the most fundamental discoveries of modern science is how nucleic acids store, transcript and translate life's genetic code (Watson and Crick, 1953). Nucleic acids are composed of subunits called nucleotides, each containing a nucleobase, a sugar and a phosphate group. Nucleobases are one-ring (pyrimidines) or two-ring (purines) compounds containing nitrogen atoms. Pyrimidines include uracil, thymine and cytosine, while purines include adenine, hypoxanthine, guanine and xanthine (see Appendix A, Fig. A1 for the structure of nucleobases). Adenine, guanine and cytosine are found in the ribonucleic acid (RNA) and deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), while thymine is only found in DNA and uracil only in RNA. Hypoxanthine and xanthine are not present in DNA or RNA, but are important intermediates in the synthesis and degradation of purine nucleotides. Since the genetic code is an ancient feature of life, it is likely that Earth's earliest living systems using a genetic code based on the common nucleobases were in existence (Dworkin et al., 2003). One proposed source of these nucleobases is their synthesis on the early Earth by abiotic chemical reactions under plausible primitive Earth conditions, such as the ones applied on the Miller–Urey experiment (Miller, 1953). However, the reducing atmospheric conditions used in this type of experiment are not consistent with the Earth's primitive atmosphere (Kasting, 1993; Kasting and Catling, 2003). Furthermore, it became evident that it is difficult to synthesize prebiotic compounds in a non-reducing atmosphere (Stribling and Miller, 1987). One potentially alternative source of nucleobases is the extraterrestrial delivery of organic material to Earth by comets, asteroids and their fragments as well as interplanetary dust particles (IDPs) (Chyba and Sagan, 1992). Carbonaceous chondrites, which contain many biologically relevant organic compounds (for reviews see e.g. Botta and Bada, 2002; Sephton, 2002) have been analyzed for nucleobases by several different research groups (Hayatsu et al., 1964; Hayatsu et al., 1968, 1975; Hayatsu et al., 1968; Folsome et al., 1971, 1973; Lawless et al., 1972; Van der Velden and Schwartz, 1977; Stoks and Schwartz, 1979, 1981). Hayatsu (1964) reported the detection of N-heterocyclic compounds in an acetylated acid-hydrolyzed Orgueil sample. The nucleobases adenine and guanine, as well as triazines (ammine and melamine) were identified using paper chromatography. Later, Hayatsu et al. (1968) used HCl-hydrolysis but no acetylation in their analytical procedure to prevent the alteration or destruction of organic...
compounds during the acetylation step applied in their previous work. Guanyleura and the purine adenine were identified in the Orgueil meteorite, but no guanine was detected. Analysis by a different group (Folsome et al., 1971, 1973; Lawless et al., 1972) using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) of trimethylsilyl (TMS) derivatizes produced clearly different results, with no purines, triazines or guanyleura detected in water and formic acid extracts of the Orgueil, Murchison and Murray meteorites. However, Folsome et al. (1971, 1973) and Lawless et al. (1972) detected 4-hydroxyxypirimidine in the same meteorite extracts. Hayatsu et al. (1975) analyzed Murchison samples using the same extraction procedure as Folsome et al. (1971, 1973) and detected only aliphatic amines and alkylpyridines by direct sample volatilisation in a mass spectrometer. However, when more “drastic” extraction conditions were applied (3–6 M HCl or trifluoroacetic acid), Hayatsu et al. (1975) found the purines adenine and guanine, guanyleura and triazines, but no 4-hydroxyxypirimidine, and this suggested that purines and triazines were released by acid hydrolysis from the meteorite macromolecular material. Two years later, Van der Velden and Schwartz (1977) analyzed a sample of the Murchison meteorite using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with UV spectroscopy, a technique that did not require derivatization or volatilisation prior to analysis. With this technique xanthine was detected at an abundance of 2.4 parts-per-million (ppm) in formic acid extracts; guanine and hypoxanthine were also tentatively identified (with a concentration of 0.1 ppm and 0.04 ppm, respectively), while no pyridines were found at levels higher than the background (0.01 ppm) in water or formic acid extracts. However, after silylation hydroxypirimidines appeared in the water extracts leading to the suggestion that the compounds detected by Folsome et al. (1971, 1973) and Lawless et al. (1972) might have been produced from contaminants present in the silylation reagents (Van der Velden and Schwartz, 1977). Stoks and Schwartz (1979) reanalyzed water and formic acid extracts of the Murchison, Murray and Orgueil meteorites using specific fractionation techniques (including activated charcoal columns, which adsorb N-heterocyclic compounds, separating the nucleobases from other organic compounds present in the meteorite extract) and ion exclusion chromatography with UV spectroscopy and detected for the first time the nucleobase uracil, in the extracts of all these meteorites. Further re-analysis of the formic acid extracts of Murchison, Murray and Orgueil using GC, HPLC and mass spectrometry (MS) resulted in the detection of xanthine, adenine, hypoxanthine and guanine in concentrations ranging from 114 to 655 parts-per-billion (ppb) in all three meteorites. In contrast, hydroxypirimidines and triazines were not identified above detection limits of 10 ppb and 50 ppb, respectively, suggesting that previous identifications of triazines by Hayatsu (1964) and Hayatsu et al. (1968, 1975) may have been artefacts synthesized during the experimental procedure. Shimoyama et al. (1990) detected guanine, and possible xanthine and hypoxanthine in the Antarctic meteorite Yamato (Y-) 74662 and Y-79198 meteorites using HPLC with UV spectroscopy. The concentrations of guanine ranged from 30 to 420 ppb, and no pyrimidines were found. Two other Antarctic meteorites, Y-793321 and Belgica (B-) 7904, also yielded no nucleobases (Shimoyama et al., 1990).

Several circumstantial lines of evidence suggested that the origin of the nucleobases present in carbonaceous meteorites is extraterrestrial (e.g. high relative xanthine abundance, low thymine to uracil ratio, and low abundance of cytosine) (Van der Velden and Schwartz, 1977). However, significant quantitative and qualitative variations even between different fragments of the same meteorite (Folsome et al., 1971, 1973; Hayatsu et al., 1975; Van der Velden and Schwartz, 1977; Stoks and Schwartz, 1979, 1981), left open the possibility that terrestrial contamination at the fall site, or during the curation history of the meteorites, as well as analytical artefacts during the extraction, purification and derivatization procedures, could have produced these compounds (Van der Velden and Schwartz, 1977). Compound specific stable isotope compositions of hydrogen, carbon and nitrogen can be powerful discriminators of the origin of organic compounds in meteorites. For example, the 13C isotope enrichment of amino acids and carboxyl acids in the Murchison meteorite has been critical to establish the extraterrestrial origin of these compounds (see e.g. Yuen et al., 1984; Engel et al., 1990; Pizzarello et al., 2004; Huang et al., 2005). Accordingly, to establish the origin (terrestrial vs. extraterrestrial) of the nucleobases in Murchison, the carbon isotope ratio of these compounds must be determined. Compound specific isotope measurements of nucleobases in carbonaceous meteorites have not previously been reported.

We subjected the Murchison meteorite (and appropriate controls) to a well-established extraction and isolation procedure (Van der Velden and Schwartz, 1977; Stoks and Schwartz, 1979, 1981) and supplemented it by analyzing the extracts with modern compound-specific carbon isotope ratio instrumentation. Murchison was used in this study to replicate the extraction and isolation procedures used previously (Van der Velden and Schwartz, 1977; Stoks and Schwartz, 1979, 1981), and because a relatively large quantity (a few grams) of this meteorite is available. For comparison, a soil sample collected in 1999 in the proximity of the meteorite’s 1969 fall site was also subjected to the same extraction, isolation and analytical procedure. To the best of our knowledge, soil from the Murchison meteorite fall site was not collected in 1969. Analysis of soil samples collected in the proximity of meteorite falls were previously shown to be critical in assessing the extent of terrestrial organic contamination in meteorites (e.g. Glavin et al., 1999). In this study, nucleobases were identified in the Murchison meteorite and soil extracts using GC-MS, and their carbon isotope ratios were determined by gas chromatography–combustion–isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC-C-IRMS).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Extraction and cleaning procedure

A modification of previously published methods (Van der Velden and Schwartz, 1977; Stoks and Schwartz, 1979, 1981) was applied to our protocol for isolation, extraction and analysis of nucleobases.

An interior piece of about 15 g of Murchison meteorite as well as 15 g of soil collected near the Murchison recovery location and a serpentine sample (heated to 500 °C for 3 h) used as a procedural blank were separately crushed into powder using a ceramic mortar and pestle. Murchison meteorite powder and soil were placed separately inside Pyrex culture tubes (with Teflon lined screw caps), 1 g per tube. Samples were extracted by ultrasonication with formic acid (8 ml/tube, 3 times) for 1 h at 60 °C. After centrifugation, the acid supernatants were transferred to 15 ml Pyrex tubes and dried under vacuum. Both meteorite and soil formic acid extracts were dissolved in 15 ml 1 M HCl, and added separately to columns of 0.6 × 5 cm activated charcoal (charcoal columns were activated as described by Van der Velden and Schwartz, 1977), to which nucleobases were adsorbed. Activated charcoal columns were washed with 1 M HCl and H2O to remove unbound material, and nucleobases were then eluted from the columns with formic acid. The extracts were then dried under vacuum and hydrolyzed (to release the bound fraction of the solvent-soluble nucleobases) as described elsewhere (Stoks and Schwartz, 1979, 1981). The hydrolyzed extracts were diluted with 1 M HCl and extracted with ether, followed by charcoal cleaning of the aqueous fraction. The extracts were then dried under vacuum, dissolved in H2O and subjected to ion-exchange separation with columns (0.4 × 6 cm) of 50 W-X8 resin. Uracil and thymine were eluted from these columns with H2O, cytosine and all purines eluted with 5 M HCl. Both eluates were dried under vacuum. The efficiency of the cleaning process was tested by determining the yields of recovery for the different steps involved (charcoal filtration, hydrolysis and ion-exchange separation) using solutions of nucleobases standards of known concentrations.
These results as well as the total nucleobase recovery yields (calculated by considering that we applied the charcoal filtration step twice, the hydrolysis step once and the ion-exchange step once) are displayed in the Supplementary Material (see Appendix A). The corresponding technical implications are also discussed in the Supplementary Material (see Appendix A).

All glassware and ceramics used for sample processing were sterilized by annealing in aluminium foil at 500 °C for 3 h. Details about chemicals and reagents used in this study are available on-line in Appendix A.

2.2. GC-QMS analysis

The meteorite and soil extracts were dissolved in 500 µl of 0.1 N NH₄OH and 30 µl aliquots were dried under vacuum. 10 µl of anhydrous pyridine and 30 µl of BSTFA/TMCS were added to the dried extract residues. Derivatization was carried out at 100 °C for 90 min. 2 µl of the resulting solutions were each injected into a GC-QMS (Thermo Finnigan Trace GC coupled to a Thermo Finnigan Trace DSQ QMS). Due to the lack of GC columns optimized for nucleobase compounds, various GC operating conditions were tested to optimize the peak shape of nucleobases, including different GC columns, temperature programs and carrier gas flow rates. Optimized conditions are as follow. Splitless injection with He as carrier gas at a constant pressure of 13 PSI was used. Separation was performed on a HP Ultra 2 (25 m×0.32 mm ID×0.17 µm film thickness) column. The GC oven temperature was held for 1 min at 75 °C and ramped to 300 °C at a rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ and then held for 5 min. The presence of nucleobases was confirmed by retention time comparison to standards and by their unique mass fragmentation pattern.

Fig. 1. GC-C-IRMS analysis of the BSTFA-derivatized formic acid extract, water eluate of the Murchison meteorite. (a) The m/z 44/45 trace (bottom) and the ratio between the m/z 45 and m/z 44 (¹³CO₂/CO₂) trace (top) for the GC-C-IRMS analysis are displayed. The insets show the uracil region of each chromatogram. The following peaks were tentatively identified by GC-QMS: 1. 2-hydroxyhexanoic acid; 2. butanedioic acid; 3. 2-methylbutanedioic acid; 4. unidentified; 5. 2, 3-dimethylbutanedioic acid; 6. pentanedioic acid; 7. 2-methylpentanedioic acid; 8. 3-methylpentanedioic acid; 9. 3-ethylpentanedioic acid; 10. ethylpentanedioic acid; 11. hexanedioic acid; 12. heptanedioic acid; 13. 1,2-benzenedicarboxylic acid; 14. unidentified; 15. unidentified. (b) The GC-QMS mass spectrum for the peak assigned to BSTFA-derivatized uracil and its structure. The inset shows the mass spectrum of a BSTFA-derivatized uracil standard.
2.3. GC-C-IRMS analysis

300 μl aliquots of the meteorite and soil extracts (out of the 500 μl) were carried through the same procedure as described for GC-QMS analysis. Carbon isotope analyses were performed using a Thermo Finnigan MAT Delta Plus XL GC-C-IRMS. Temperature program, carrier gas and pressure were the same as the GC-QMS analysis. The GC column in the GC-QMS was removed and then installed in the GC-C-IRMS. Compounds separated by the GC column were converted to CO$_2$ through an oxidation oven kept at 980°C. CO$_2$ reference gas with a known δ$^{13}$C value (−41.10‰ PDB) was injected via the interface to the IRMS, for the computation of δ$^{13}$C values of sample and standard compounds. Peaks corresponding to the compounds of interest were integrated using the software supplied with the GC-C-IRMS instrument, which corrects for background, calculates and reports δ$^{13}$C values. Standards for the analyses included pyrene, with a δ$^{13}$C value of −24.03‰ (±0.16‰) when measured by GC-C-IRMS. Additionally, individual nucleobases standards were subjected to the entire derivatization procedure described above and run on the GC-C-IRMS, with typical standard deviation of ±0.44‰. Corrections for carbon added from the BSFTA were calculated by mass balance: δ$^{13}$C nucleobase in sample derivatized = (δ$^{13}$C nucleobase sample) + (% of carbon BSTFA) (δ$^{13}$C BSTFA). The average δ$^{13}$C values used for BSTFA were −48.99‰±0.1‰ (from uracil standards), −44.91‰±0.38‰ (from thymine standards), and −40.47‰±0.34‰ (from xanthine standards), and were obtained by mass balance: δ$^{13}$C nucleobase standard derivatized = (δ$^{13}$C BSTFA) (EA nucleobase standard) + (% of carbon BSTFA) (δ$^{13}$C BSTFA), where the EA nucleobase standard value corresponds to the δ$^{13}$C value of the nucleobase standard established by a Carlo Erba elemental analyzer (EA)-IRMS with He as the carrier gas. The uncertainties in the

Fig. 2. GC-C-IRMS analysis of the BSTFA-derivatized formic acid extract, hydrochloric acid eluate of the Murchison meteorite. (a) The m/z 44 (12CO$_2$) trace (bottom) and the ratio between the m/z 45 and m/z 44 (13CO$_2$/12CO$_2$) trace (top) for the GC-C-IRMS analysis are displayed. The insets show the xanthine region of each chromatogram. The following peaks were tentatively identified by GC-QMS: 1. butanedioic acid; 2. 2-methylbutanedioic acid; 3, 2, 3-dimethylbutanedioic acid; 4. pentanedioic acid; 5. 2-methylpentanedioic acid; 6. 3-methylpentanedioic acid; 7. unidentified; 8. 1,2-benzenedicarboxylic acid; 9. unidentified; 10. unidentified. (b) The GC-QMS mass spectrum for the peak assigned to BSTFA-derivatized xanthine and its structure. The inset shows the mass spectrum of a BSTFA-derivatized xanthine standard.
δ13C values (±σ) are based on the standard deviation of the average value of between three and four separate measurements (N) with a standard error $\sigma = \frac{\text{σ}}{\sqrt{N}}$.

3. Results

Following the literature protocol (Van der Velden and Schwartz, 1977; Stoks and Schwartz, 1979, 1981), an interior fragment of the Murchison meteorite was extracted and purified for nucleobase isotopic analyses. This procedure substantially limited the presence of interfering compounds and was optimized for the detection of uracil and xanthine (see Section 2. Materials and methods for details).

A detailed study of the yields of recovery for each cleaning step during the purification process is described in Appendix A. A relatively large quantity of Murchison meteorite (15 g) was necessary to perform carbon isotope measurements of nucleobases (see Section 2. Materials and methods). The limit of detection of the GC-C-IRMS, combined with the limited mass availability of meteorite samples, prevented us from performing stable nitrogen or hydrogen measurements of the nucleobases and methods. The limit of detection of the GC-C-IRMS, combined with the limited mass availability of meteorite samples, prevented us from performing stable nitrogen or hydrogen measurements of the nucleobases.

Two chromatographic traces obtained from the GC-C-IRMS analysis of the water eluate from the ion-exchange separation of the formic acid extract of the Murchison meteorite are shown in Fig. 1a: the m/z 44 ([12]CO2) trace (bottom) and the ratio m/z 45/44 ([13]CO2/12CO2) (top). These traces include the peak corresponding to BSTFA-derivatized uracil, assigned by retention time comparison with BSTFA-derivatized authentic uracil standard analyzed on the same instrument. Confirmation of this assignment was achieved by comparison of the mass fragmentation patterns of the corresponding peak in the meteorite extracts (Fig. 1b) with the mass spectra of a BSTFA-derivatized authentic uracil standard (Fig. 1b inset), analyzed by gas chromatography-quadrupole mass spectrometry (GC-QMS) using the same GC column and analytical conditions that were used for the GC-C-IRMS measurements. The same analysis was performed for the hydrochloric acid eluate from the ion-exchange separation. The two traces m/z 44 (bottom) and ratio m/z 45/44 (top) that include the peak corresponding to BSTFA-derivatized xanthine are shown in Fig. 2a, and the corresponding GC-QMS mass fragmentation patterns of the peaks in the meteorite extract and the authentic xanthine standard are shown in Fig. 2b. The peak shapes in the m/z 45/44 traces (top in Figs. 1a and 2a) do not correspond to the typical sinusoidal m/z 45/44 traces, in which m/z 45 goes through the GC column slightly ahead of m/z 44. This can be explained by the lack of GC-columns optimized for nucleobase compounds (see Section 2.2. GC-QMS analysis), and in particular for isotope measurements, as the m/z 45/44 traces of BSTFA-derivatized authentic nucleobase standards analyzed under the same conditions showed the same behavior.

4. Discussion

4.1. Compound-specific carbon isotopic measurements

Analysis of the data from the GC-C-IRMS measurements yielded δ13C values of +44.5‰ (±2.3‰) for uracil and +37.7‰ (±1.6‰) for xanthine in the Murchison meteorite (Table 1). These values fall within the range of those measured for extraterrestrial amino acids and carboxylic acids in carbonaceous chondrites (Yuen et al., 1984; Engel et al., 1990; Pizzarello et al., 2004; Huang et al., 2005). In order to constrain the possible contributions of terrestrial nucleobases to the carbon isotope values for uracil and xanthine measured in Murchison, GC-C-IRMS analyses were also carried out for the nucleobases present in the Murchison soil extract (Table 1). Soil uracil has a δ13C value of −10.6‰ (±1.8‰) and xanthine was below the detection limit of GC-C-IRMS (~1 ppb). Thus, there should be no terrestrial contribution from the landing site soil to the value for xanthine measured in the meteorite. For uracil, any terrestrial contamination from the soil would decrease the measured δ13C value in the Murchison meteorite extract. While our analytical methods were not optimized for the detection of other nucleobases, we were able to detect thymine in the soil (δ13C=−15.9‰±1.1‰). The negative δ13C values measured for uracil and thymine in the soil are in the range expected for terrestrial organic compounds of biological origin (for review see e.g. Sephton and Botta, 2005; Scott et al., 2006) and are clearly distinct from the positive δ13C values of uracil and xanthine we have measured in the Murchison meteorite.

Extraterrestrial dicarboxylic acids are the most abundant class of compounds detected in the Murchison meteorite extracts. Their measured δ13C values were in the range of +28‰ to +44‰ (see Table 2 and Section 4.2. Carboxylic acids in the Murchison meteorite and soil samples), consistent with previous results (Pizzarello and Huang, 2002). These compounds were chromatographically separated from the nucleobases (different retention time) and therefore did not interfere with our carbon isotope measurements. Comparison of the mass fragmentation patterns of the meteorite extracts to standards indicates the possible presence of co-eluting compounds with the BSTFA-derivatized xanthine peaks. Of these, the most conspicuous interfering peak is the m/z 313 present in the Murchison xanthine spectrum (Fig. 2b). This mass fragment corresponds to BSTFA-derivatized hexadecanoic acid (a monocarboxylic acid), which is also observed at the same retention time in the soil extract, and has m/z 313 (Fig. 3). Thus, it is very likely that this compound in the meteorite has a terrestrial origin and carries a light isotopic signature. In

### Table 1

| Carbon Isotope (%) of Nucleobases in the Murchison Meteorite and Soil Samples |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Murchison meteorite         | Uracil                  | Xanthine                    | Thymine                     |
| 13C                         | +44.5±2.3               | +37.7±1.6                   | n.d.                        |
| Soil                        | −10.6±1.8               | n.d.                        | −15.9±1.1                   |

n.d. — not determined due to low concentrations.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carboxylic Acids</th>
<th>This Study</th>
<th>Literature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butyric acid</td>
<td>+30.1</td>
<td>+28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Methylbutyric acid</td>
<td>+34.0</td>
<td>+26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentanoic acid</td>
<td>+44.0</td>
<td>+26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Methylpentanoic acid</td>
<td>+34.2</td>
<td>+27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Methylpentanoic acid</td>
<td>+28.0</td>
<td>+19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexanoic acid</td>
<td>+28.4</td>
<td>+21.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

addition, the high carbon number of hexadecanoic acid (C\textsubscript{16}) is inconsistent with known extraterrestrial meteoritic monocarboxylic acids that range from C\textsubscript{2} up to C\textsubscript{12} (Naraoka et al., 1999; Huang et al., 2005 and references therein).

A background of unresolved compounds, which co-elute with the meteoritic nucleobases is observed in Figs. 1 and 2. For example, the characteristic fragments of BSTFA-derivatized xanthine present in the meteorite extract are clearly evident in the GC-QMS mass spectrum (Fig. 2b). In addition to this, there is a continuum of ions derived from the chromatographically unresolved background material. Software supplied with the GC-C-IRMS instrument corrects for this background and therefore it will not interfere with the reported δ\textsuperscript{13}C values for uracil and xanthine present in the Murchison meteorite.

Based on these arguments, the measured carbon isotope values for uracil (possible contribution of terrestrial uracil from the soil) and xanthine (possible co-elution of terrestrial hexadecanoic acid) in the Murchison meteorite should be considered to be lower limits. Given the high positive δ\textsuperscript{13}C values for uracil and xanthine measured for Murchison meteorite extracts, these interferences do not compromise the conclusion that these two nucleobases are definitely of extra-terrestrial origin.

4.2. Carboxylic acids in the Murchison meteorite and soil samples

The identification (by retention time and mass fragmentation patterns) of several peaks in the GC-QMS total ion current (TIC) was essential to determine whether the nucleobase peak assignments (both in the Murchison meteorite and in the soil) were correct and if the nucleobase peaks were separate and distinct from other compounds.

The detection and carbon isotope compositions have already been published for most of the dicarboxylic acids present in the Murchison meteorite (Lawless et al., 1974; Peltzer et al., 1984; Cronin et al., 1993; Pizzarello and Huang, 2002). Despite the extensive fractionation procedure applied to isolate nucleobases from other compounds in the meteorite and soil extracts, GC-QMS analyses show that dicarboxylic acids are still present in the purified formic acid extracts of both the Murchison meteorite and soil. Since dicarboxylic acids are present in both the H\textsubscript{2}O and HCl eluates of the Murchison meteorite (Figs. 1a and 2a), they might be trailing in the ion exchange separation step (see Section 2.2. Extraction and cleaning procedure). This could be due to overloading of the ion-exchange columns as well as the presence of other compounds which would cause elution of the dicarboxylic acids. It is not clear if additional purification steps would have removed these interferences, but it would definitely have led to further sample loss preventing the stable carbon isotope measurements of nucleobases. The presence of dicarboxylic acids in the eluates did not interfere with the isotopic analysis of the nucleobases since they were chromatographically separated on the GC-C-IRMS column. Dicarboxylic acids present on the GC-C-IRMS traces for both the H\textsubscript{2}O eluate (Fig. 1a) and the HCl eluate of the Murchison meteorite (Fig. 2a) have been identified by GC-QMS as butanedioic acid, 2-methylbutanedioic acid, 2,3-dimethylbutanedioic acid, pentanedioic acid, 2-methylpentanedioic acid, 3- methylpentanedioic acid, hexanedioic acid, and 1,2-benzenedicarboxylic acid (peaks 2, 3, 5 to 8, 11 and 13 in the H\textsubscript{2}O eluate, Fig. 1a; peaks 1 to 8 in the HCl eluate, Fig. 2a). Stable carbon isotope values of dicarboxylic acids present in the Murchison meteorite obtained in a previous study range from +19.1‰ to +28.1‰ (Pizzarello and Huang, 2002). The δ\textsuperscript{13}C values for the Murchison meteorite dicarboxylic acids measured in this study are in agreement with these literature values, or are slightly higher (Table 2). The only exception is pentanedioic acid, whose δ\textsuperscript{13}C value of +44.0‰ is significantly higher than the δ\textsuperscript{13}C value of +26.8‰ published previously for Murchison (Pizzarello and Huang, 2002). The difference between the two measurements could be due to a higher degree of terrestrial contamination in the sample of Murchison from the previous measurement, since pentanedioic acid is a common terrestrial contaminant found in the biosphere (Pizzarello and Huang, 2002), leading to a small decrease in the δ\textsuperscript{13}C value. We cannot exclude the possibility of a small amount of an isotopically heavy compound co-eluting with pentanedioic acid in our analysis, which would increase the carbon isotope value for pentanedioic acid.

4.3. Origin of meteoritic nucleobases

It is generally accepted that extraterrestrial nucleobases could have been formed by abiotic reaction mechanisms in a variety of cosmic environments. However, a low formation rate combined with a low stability against UV radiation makes the detection of nucleobases in the interstellar and circumstellar medium extremely difficult (Peet et al., 2003). In fact, only upper limits of this class of compounds were detected in the interstellar medium (Kuan et al., 2003). Instead, synthetic processes on the meteorite parent body during aqueous alteration are more likely to be responsible for the presence of meteoritic nucleobases. A number of abiotic synthetic routes have been investigated in laboratory simulations. These include the polymerization of hydrogen cyanide (HCN) (Oró, 1960, 1961; Oró and Kimball 1961; Sanchez et al., 1967; Ferris et al., 1978; Voet and Schwartz, 1983; Schwartz and Bakker, 1989; Minard et al., 1998; Levy et al., 1999; Miyakawa et al., 2002), synthesis by quenching a CO–N\textsubscript{2}– H\textsubscript{2}O high-temperature plasma (Miyakawa et al., 2000), the reaction of cyanoacetylene with cyanate in relative dilute solution at pH 8 and room temperature (Ferris et al., 1968), and the reaction of cyanoacetaldehyde with urea in eutectic solution (Nelson et al., 2001) or at higher temperature (Robertson and Miller, 1995). Other pathways are obviously also possible (for an overview see Ferris and Hagan, 1984; Orgel, 2004), and a number of them might have occurred on the Murchison meteorite parent body. Degradation of nucleobases in the hydrated parent body environment also has to be considered. For example, cytosine degrades to uracil with a half-life of 17,000 years and guanine decomposes to xanthine with a half-life of 1.3 Ma (Levy and Miller, 1998) at 0°C and pH 7. Consequently, meteoritic nucleobase distributions are the result of both synthetic and subsequent degradation reactions.

5. Conclusions

By demonstrating that one purine and one pyrimidine in the Murchison meteorite are extraterrestrial in origin, a large variety of the key component classes in terrestrial biochemistry, including amino acids, sugar related compounds (Cooper et al., 2001), carboxylic acids and nucleobases, have been identified as indigenous components in the Murchison meteorite (for a review see e.g. Botta and Bada, 2002; Sephton, 2002). Our data advance proposals that life’s raw materials were delivered to the early Earth and other planetary bodies by exogenous sources, including carbonaceous meteorites. In contrast, the endogenous synthesis of prebiotic organic compounds may have been constrained by the conditions on the young Earth, perhaps most importantly by the oxidation state of the atmosphere. For example, low yields of amino acids were produced under non-reducing conditions in the Miller–Urey-type experiment (Strobl and Miller, 1987). Yet, whatever the inventory of endogenous organic compounds on the ancient Earth, it would have been augmented by extraterrestrial material. It is estimated that these sources delivered – 10\textsuperscript{15} kg of carbon per year to the Earth during the heavy bombardment phase 4.5–3.9 billion years ago (Chyba and Sagan, 1992).

In modern biology uracil is ubiquitous as a nucleobase in RNA, while the role of xanthine is limited in modern biochemistry (Kulikowska et al., 2004), most notably as an intermediate in the biosynthesis of guanosine and uric acid. It is also interesting to note that both xanthine and uracil are capable of self-association in monolayers, which might have been of importance in prebiotic chemistry on mineral surfaces on the early Earth (Sowerby and
Petersen, 1999). A continuous influx of meteoritic uracil and xanthine and possibly other nucleobases would have enriched the prebiotic organic inventory necessary for life to assemble on the early Earth. Following the birth of the Solar System, carbonaceous meteorite infall would have been common on all terrestrial planets. Consequently, nucleobases delivered to these worlds together with sugar-related species and amino acids might have been beneficial to the origin of life on Earth, Mars, or elsewhere.
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